

4128 Highway 78 Dorchester, SC 29437

Grades 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 662 Students

PrincipalJimmie bernard Utsey843-563-5956SuperintendentMr. Jerry G. Montjoy(843) 563-4535Board ChairDr. Kenneth Jenkins(843) 563-3228

2011 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
Average	Average
Below Average	At-Risk
Below Average	Average
Below Average	Good
Below Average	Average
	Average Below Average Below Average Below Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS					
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk					
3	3	19	4	3	

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/09/2011.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students						
	Our High School High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2009	2010	2011	2009	2010	2011
Passed 2 subtests (%)	57.7%	54.3%	59.0%	62.1%	69.2%	66.8%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	17.9%	24.5%	27.1%	18.4%	16.3%	18.9%
Passed no subtests (%)	24.4%	21.2%	13.9%	19.4%	14.5%	14.3%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2011		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	91.3%	86.5%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate						
	Our Hig	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours		
	2010*	2011	2010	2011		
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	183	165	201	192		
Number of Graduates in Cohort	137	127	139	138		
Rate *I leed to calculate current AVP	74.9%	77.0%	70.0%	71.3%		

Five-Year Graduation Rate							
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours			
	2010	2011	2010	2011			
Number of Students in Cohort	N/A	182	N/A	206			
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	141	N/A	144			
Rate	N/A	77.5%	N/A	70.6%			

End of Course Tests						
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*				
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	50.0%	67.6%				
English 1	47.0%	53.3%				
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	54.5%	50.1%				
Physical Science	41.0%	43.9%				
US History and the Constitution	40.6%	31.7%				
All Tests	46.9%	48.7%				

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile High Schools Median Our School Change from Last Year with Students Hiah Like Ours School Students (n=662) 4 4% Up from 3.1% 5.0% 3 4% Retention rate 95.0% 95.0% Attendance rate 95.6% Up from 92.6% 0.0% 8.1% Served by gifted and talented program No Change 12.4% Down from 13.5% 12.1% 9.9% With disabilities other than speech 13.2% Older than usual for grade 8.7% Down from 11 1% 9.8% 7.1% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent 0.9% Up from 0.1% 0.7% 0.9% and/or criminal offenses 0.0% 6.6% 13.0% Enrolled in AP/IB programs No Change N/A N/A 18.7% 51.7% Successful on AP/IB exams Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 28.2% Up from 26.7% 27.7% 30.1% Annual dropout rate 1.5% Down from 2.6% 2.5% 2.5% Career/technology students in co-curricular 2.9% 8.2% Up from 5.9% 5.4% organizations 331 Up from 268 373 419 Enrollment in career/technology courses 6.3% Down from 6.5% 1.1% 7.2% Students participating in work-based experiences 72.8% Up from 67.2% 77.0% 83.0% Career/technology students attaining technical skills Career/technology completers placed N/A N/A 99.4% 98.4% Teachers (n=39) Teachers with advanced degrees 43.6% Up from 39.5% 59.1% 61.1% 56.4% 73.4% 80.6% Continuing contract teachers Up from 52.6% 80.4% Teachers returning from previous year Up from 79.4% 82.6% 86.5% 95 2% 95.5% Teacher attendance rate 94.6% Down from 95.3% Average teacher salary* \$44,852 Down 1.9% \$45,233 \$46.884 Down from 9.9 days Professional development days/teacher 8.9 days 9.9 days 10.0 days School 2.0 Up from 1.0 3.0 40 Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 24.3 to 1 Up from 22.1 to 1 24.2 to 1 26.5 to 1 Prime instructional time 88.0% Up from 86.0% 90.3% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8.743 Down 2.9% \$8.451 \$7.804 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 49 1% Down from 53 6% 55 9% 58.0% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 54.6% Down from 56.6% 59.6% 60.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent

Modern language program assessment

Classical language program assessment

SACS accreditation

Parents attending conferences

Character development program

No Change

N/A

N/A

Down from 100.0%

Down from Good

Yes

N/A

N/A

46.2%

Average

Yes

96.5%

Good

N/A

Average

Yes

97.3%

Good

Good

Good

Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups							
		HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2011 End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2010 For AYP		ate, 2010	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met AYP Objective
All Students	138	91.3%	720	46.9%	183	74.9%	No
Gender							
Male	63	88.9%	363	48.5%	91	62.6%	N/A
Female	75	93.3%	323	46.4%	91	86.8%	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	40	90.0%	174	71.8%	52	57.7%	N/A
African American	96	91.7%	495	38.6%	127	82.7%	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	11	54.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Disabled	16	50.0%	56	23.2%	20	40.0%	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							

98 $NOTE: n = number\ of\ students\ on\ which\ percentage\ is\ calculated;\ t = number\ of\ tests\ taken.$

92.9%

523

43.4%

122

75.4%

N/A

Subsidized meals

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

During the 2010-2011 school year, we continued our push towards improving academics at Woodland High School by establishing a culture of high expectations. Our first goal was to increase the level of rigor and student engagement across the curriculum. The second was to continue to provide extra help opportunities for our students in all content areas. Our final goal was to increase the number of electives for our students.

Overall, we were successful in making progress towards our goals. Our test scores on the EOC and HSAP continued to improve from the 2009-2010 school year. In addition, we established a broadcasting class this past year and an Army ROTC Program after an eleven-year wait. The ROTC Program has been a tremendous success for our students, parents, and faculty and staff.

The 2010-2011 graduating class consisted of 131 graduates, of which, twenty-six were Honor Graduates, forty-four qualified for a Life Scholarship, and two were recipients of the Palmetto Fellowship. The class of 2010-2011 also received \$2.3 million in scholarships.

Twelve students in the FCCLA organization placed first in the State competition and traveled to Anaheim, California, for the National competition. During the National competition, two students received gold medals, and four received bronze. In athletics, we had several programs compete in the South Carolina High School League Playoffs.

The faculty and staff of Woodland High School along with the parents continue to strive to prepare our students for life beyond the halls and grounds of Woodland High School.

Bernard Utsey, Principal Derrick Williams, School Improvement Council Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	34	102	53
Percent satisfied with learning environment	48.5%	59.0%	81.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.2%	60.4%	71.2%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	32.4%	78.2%	69.2%

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress No

This school met 10 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

N/A

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality Data		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.7%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.8%	4.4%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.9%	0.0%	No

HSAP Performance B	y Grou	р									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langua	ge Arts	- State	Perfor	mance	Object	ive = 7	1.3% (F	roficie	nt or Ac	lvanced	d)
All Students	168	99.4	14.5	47.3	23.6	14.5	50.3	50.6	68.0	Yes	Yes
Male	82	100.0	19.8	45.7	23.5	11.1	46.9	47.6	63.1	N/A	N/A
Female	86	98.8	9.5	48.8	23.8	17.9	53.6	53.6	73.1	N/A	N/A
White	54	100.0	7.7	30.8	32.7	28.8	67.3	67.9	79.4	No	Yes
African American	102	99.0	18.8	54.5	19.8	6.9	42.6	42.6	51.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	83.2	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	62.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	66.4	I/S	I/S
Disabled	16	93.8	64.3	35.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.7	22.8	I/S	I/S
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	45.1	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	126	99.2	18.7	52.0	16.3	13.0	42.3	42.7	54.7	No	Yes
Mathemati	cs - Sta	te Perf	ormano	ce Obje	ctive =	70.0%	(Profic	ient or	Advanc	ed)	
All Students	168	99.4	40.6	35.8	17.6	6.1	31.5	31.9	62.3	No	Yes
Male	82	100.0	40.7	42.0	13.6	3.7	30.9	31.7	61.4	N/A	N/A
Female	86	98.8	40.5	29.8	21.4	8.3	32.1	32.1	63.2	N/A	N/A
White	54	100.0	21.2	34.6	28.8	15.4	55.8	56.6	75.3	No	Yes
African American	102	99.0	51.5	36.6	10.9	1.0	17.8	17.8	42.9	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	84.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.4	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	64.1	I/S	I/S
Disabled	16	93.8	78.6	21.4	N/A	N/A	7.1	13.3	21.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	47.1	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals	126	99.2	44.7	34.1	16.3	4.9	28.5	29.0	48.5	No	Yes
	'	ļ	ı	1	'	ı			ı	'	ļ
Biology 7	1/Applie	ed Biolo	gy 2 (End-of-	Course	Test F	erform	ance b	y Group	0)	
All Students	168	98.2	77.6	13.9	6.7	1.8	8.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	82	98.8	79.0	14.8	4.9	1.2	6.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	86	97.7	76.2	13.1	8.3	2.4	10.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	54	96.3	53.8	32.7	11.5	1.9	13.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	102	100.0	89.2	4.9	4.9	1.0	5.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Biology 2	1/Appli€	ed Biolo	ogy 2 (End-of-	Course	l est F	'erform	ance by	/ Group)	
All Students	168	98.2	77.6	13.9	6.7	1.8	8.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	82	98.8	79.0	14.8	4.9	1.2	6.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	86	97.7	76.2	13.1	8.3	2.4	10.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	54	96.3	53.8	32.7	11.5	1.9	13.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	102	100.0	89.2	4.9	4.9	1.0	5.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	16	93.8	86.7	13.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	126	99.2	80.8	11.2	6.4	1.6	8.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data										
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	pesee4 %	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 71.3% (Proficient or Advanced)										
All Students	2010 2011	155 168	96.8 99.4	28.7 14.5	41.3 47.3	18.7 23.6	11.3 14.5	42.7 50.3	42.7 50.6	65.9 68.0
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)										

Math	ematics	 State F 	Performa	nce Obje	ective = '	70.0% (F	Proficien	t or Adva	anced)	
All Chudonto	2010	155	98.7	34.2	46.1	15.1	4.6	29.6	29.6	62.3
All Students	2011	168	99.4	40.6	35.8	17.6	6.1	31.5	31.9	62.3

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.